

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,471	01/28/2004	Hirokazu Sawada	Q78015	9438
23373 7590 08/06/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,471	SAWADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Schilling	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O <sub>.</sub> G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7,8,10-12,14-17,19 and 20</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7,8,10-12,14-17,19,20</u> is/are rejuted to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	•					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P					

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. The rejections of the final office action are also withdrawn since EP'574 adds Zr in amounts far greater than the impurity amounts set forth in the instant claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Uesugi et al. Uesugi et al. (col.2, lines 30-57; ex.2) discloses printing plates with Al supports containing Fe at .2-.4%, Cu at up to .03% and Si at .05-.2% with Zr and Mg at impurity amounts. Example 2 has Cu at .03%. It would at least be obvious to one skilled in the art to use Al supports with Cu at the upper end of the disclosed range and to modify the support of example 2 from the maximum disclosed Si content of .2% to a % in the middle or lower parts of the disclosed range for Si, i.e. use .05-.15% out of .05-.2%.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sawada et

Application/Control Number: 10/765,471 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

al. '710. Sawada et al. (paragraphs 35-38; ex. 8) discloses printing plates with Al plates with .2-.5% Fe, .01-.04% Cu and .04-.2% Si with impurity amounts for Mg and Zr. Example 8 has Cu at .03%, Si at .08% and Fe at .28%. It would at least be obvious to one skilled in the art to use Cu at the upper end of the disclosed range in Sawada et al.

4. Claims 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hotta et al. in combination with Sawada et al.'710 and Uesugi et al. Hotta et al. (paragraphs 9, 97) disclose printing plates with Al supports developed with solutions containing saccharides. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the developing solutions of Hotta et al. to develop the plates in Uesugi et al. or Sawada et al. or to use the Al supports of Sawada et al. or Uesugi et al. as the generically called for Al supports of the plates in Hotta et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Richard L. Schilling at telephone number 571-272-1335.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1186-/